Constitutional Violations by Navy Command

Captain James Bahr, Naval Academy Preparatory School (NAPS), via HMC "Chief" Andrew Ashcom, threatened ETN3 Grant Hrdlicka with greater punishment if a lawyer became involved in the disciplinary incident. Chief Ashcom threatened (verbatim), "<u>if you want to involve</u> <u>lawyers, it's not going to be an adjudication</u>". NAPS Command originally required ETN3 Grant Hrdlicka to sign disciplinary paperwork for an adjudication (a disciplinary action that DOES NOT create a permanent record in the sailor's career; that disciplinary action stays within NAPS). After learning that ETN3 Grant Hrdlicka was attempting to get counsel from an attorney, NAPS Command, through Chief Ashcom, threatened a higher form of punishment. ETN3 Grant Hrdlicka acquiesced and did not involve counsel, but the disciplinary action was still changed to a Captains Mast (stays on the permanent military record and prevents career advancement). Not only did NAPS and USNA Command deny admission into the Naval Academy, the application of a Captains Mast disciplinary process destroyed any future Naval career.